



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

B. NOEL KIVLIN
CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C.
P.O. BOX 398
AUSTIN TX 78767

COPY MAILED

JAN 03 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Steven J. Sistare et al.	:	
Application No. 09/303,464	:	DECISION ON RENEWED PETITION
Filed: April 30, 1999	:	UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181(A)
Attorney Docket No. P3949	:	
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR	:	
CONTROLLING CO-SCHEDULING OF	:	
PROCESSES OF PARALLEL PROGRAM	:	

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed September 29, 2006, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a), to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to submit the issue fee in a timely manner in reply to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, mailed March 30, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months. No extensions of time are permitted for transmitting issue fees¹. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on July 1, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on July 31, 2006.

The original petition was filed on August 21, 2006, along with an assertion that the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due was not received, the issue fee, and a copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed.

¹ See MPEP §710.02(e).

The original petition was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on September 22, 2006, as Petitioner failed to provide an attestation to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicated that the Office communication was not received.

With this renewed petition, this deficiency has been rectified.

Accordingly, the petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) is **GRANTED**. The holding of abandonment is **WITHDRAWN**.

The Office of Patent Publication will be notified of this decision so that the present application can be processed into a patent.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225². All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.



Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office

2 Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. §1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's further action(s).